

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES P. DeFAZIO,

Plaintiff,

v.

NO. CIV. S-04-1358 LKK/GGH

HOLLISTER, INC., Plan  
Administrator; et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
KATHLEEN ELLIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

NO. CIV. S-05-559 LKK/GGH

HOLLISTER, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
BRENDA DIMARO; and HALLIE LAVICK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NO. CIV. S-05-1726 LKK/GGH

HOLLISTER, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

O R D E R

1 On April 24, 2006, a Status Conference was held in the above-  
2 captioned cases. Based on discussions during that conference, the  
3 court hereby ORDERS as follows:

4 1. Within ten (10) days of the Status Conference, the parties  
5 shall file briefs not exceeding ten (10) pages proposing how the  
6 above-captioned cases should be tried to the court.<sup>1</sup>

7 2. All dates in DeFazio v. Hollister, No. Civ. S-04-1358, are  
8 VACATED.

9 3. Defendants in Ellis v. Hollister, No. Civ. S-05-559, and  
10 Dimaro v. Hollister, No. Civ. S-05-1726, are granted a twenty-one  
11 (21) day extension to file their answers.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: April 26, 2006

14  
15 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton  
16 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
17 SENIOR JUDGE  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> As noted during the Status Conference, the parties should  
26 focus on how each proposal would increase efficiency and  
convenience for the court.